## Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 OTTAWA 00579 122304 Z

10

ACTION PM-09

INFO OCT-01 EUR-25 ADP-00 IO-12 MBFR-03 T-03 AEC-11 ACDA-19

OMB-01 CIAE-00 DODE-00 H-02 INR-09 L-03 NSAE-00

NSC-10 PA-03 RSC-01 PRS-01 SS-14 USIA-12 OIC-04 RSR-01

/144 W

----- 033934

R 122027 Z MAR 73 FM AMEMBASSY OTTAWA TO SECSTATE WASHDC 649 INFO AMEMBASSY BONN AMEMBASSY VIENNA USMISSION NATO BRUSSELS

CONFIDENTIAL OTTAWA 579

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PARM, NATO, CA

SUBJ: CANADIAN REACTION TO MIT

REF: BONN 3510

- 1. WE ARE PUZZLED BY REFTEL, WHICH WE HAVE OF COURSE NOT RPT NOT DISCUSSED WITH CANADIANS. EMBOFFS ARE IN REGULAR TOUCH WITH MORGAN, DEPUTY TO GEOURGE GRANDE IN EXTAFF DEFENCE RELATIONS DIV., WHO BACKSTOPPING MIT WHILE GRANDE IS CANDEL CHIEF IN VIENNA. MORGAN HAS MENTIONED GRANDE'S FEELING THAT THE NAC HAD ON OCCASION ASSUMED FUNCTIONS WHICH WOULD MORE APPROPRIATELY HAVE BEEN HANDLEDBY ADHOC GROUP, BUT GAVE NO HINT OF ACRIMONY OR SUGGESTION THAT MBFR IS "DISPUTATIOUS" WITHIN GOC.
- 2. MORGAN HAS VOICED SOME CONCERN THAT ALLIANCE MAY BE INSUFFICIENTLY PREPARED DISCUSS SUBSTANTIVE MBFR ISSUES.
  GOC WOULD PROBABLY HAVE PREFERRED A MORE SUBSTANTIVE APPROACH TO MIT, BUT HAS BEEN GENERALLY AGREEABLE TO FOLLOW US LEAD. GOC WOULD HOPE US SUBSTANTIVE PAPER COULD BE PRESENTED AS SOON AS POSSIBLE, TO GIVE AMPLE TIME FOR STUDY AND DISCUSSION BEFORE DPC MINISTERIAL. CONFIDENTIAL

## CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 OTTAWA 00579 122304 Z

3. WE GATHER CANADIAN DELS AT NATO AND MIT HAVE BEEN HELPFUL IN SUPPORT US POSITION ON HUNGARIAN PARTICIPATION, AND BELIEVE GOR INTENDS SO TO CONTINUE. IN LONG RUN, MORGAN THINKS CANADIAN PUBLIC OPINION WOULD NOT RPT NOT ACCEPT BREAKDOWN OF TALKS ON QUESTION OF STATUS OF HUNGARY. MBFR HAS HAD LITTLE IMPACT IN CANADA. MIT HAS HAD PRACTICALLY NO PRESS COVERAGE OTHER THAN MONTREAL DAILY LE DEVOIR WHICH CARRIES AFP. GOC UNDER NO PRESSURE TAKE MORE ACTIVE ROLE IN MBFR, AND CANADIAN EMBASSY BONN HAS PROBABLY EXAGGERATED GOC CONCERNS.

CONFIDENTIAL

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Current Handling Restrictions \*\*\* n/a

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Current Classification \*\*\* CONFIDENTIAL

## Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

**Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED** 

Concepts: n/a Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 12 MAR 1973 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED

Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: garlanwa
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1973OTTAWA00579

Document Number: 1973OTTAWA00579 Document Source: CORE Document Unique ID: 00

Drafter: n/a Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: GDS Errors: n/a Film Number: n/a From: OTTAWA

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1973/newtext/t19730324/aaaahjyi.tel Line Count: 81

Locator: TEXT ON-LINE

Office: ACTION PM Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 2

Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a Reference: 73 BONN 3510

Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Authority: garlanwa

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 26 JUL 2001

**Review Event:** 

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <26-Jul-2001 by willialc>; APPROVED <29-Aug-2001 by garlanwa>

**Review Markings:** 

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

**Review Media Identifier:** Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN

Status: <DBA CORRECTED> jms 971117 Subject: CANADIAN REACTION TO MIT

TAGS: PARM, CA, NATO

To: BONN NATO BRUSSELS PMSECSTATE WASHDC

VIENNA

Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005